This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/460,688	8 12/14/1999		KESTUTIS PATIEJUNAS	MCS-117-99	2824		
27662	7590	08/02/2004		EXAN	EXAMINER		
LYON & H	,	LP LIVE, SUITE 800	CRAIG,	CRAIG, DWIN M			
OXNARD,				ART UNIT PAI			
,			,	2123			

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					D
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	*
		09/460,688		PATIEJUNAS, KES	STUTIS
Office Action St	ummary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Dwin M Craig		2123	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication appea	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin. - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend - Any reply received by the Office later ti earned patent term adjustment. See 3 Status	S COMMUNICATION. nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(g date of this communication. s less than thirty (30) days, a reply we, the maximum statutory period will led period for reply will, by statute, can three months after the mailing da	a). In no event, hower ithin the statutory min apply and will expire ause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from no become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>4-29-2</u>	<u> 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fi	nal.		
	is in condition for allowand with the practice under Ex				e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pe	anding in the application				
	s) <u>17, 22-31 and 34-38</u> is	/ara withdrawn	from considerati	· ·	
5) Claim(s) is/are a		are withtrawn	mom considerati	on.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 15, 32 &</u>					
7) Claim(s) 3-14,16 and 1					
8) Claim(s) are sub	·	alection require	ment		
Application Papers		siection require	ment.		
9) The specification is objective.	-	_			
10) The drawing(s) filed on		,			
	est that any objection to the o		<u>-</u>	` ,	
11) The proposed drawing of				ved by the Examine	r.
	rawings are required in reply		tion.		
12) The oath or declaration	•	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119					
13) Acknowledgment is ma		riority under 35	0.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (†).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) [
	of the priority documents h				
<u> </u>	of the priority documents h			-	
	rtified copies of the priority om the International Bure d Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for domestic p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the standard of the s	he foreign language provi				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s	awing Review (PTO-948)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	n Summary	-	Part of Paper No. 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 17, 22-31 and 34-38 have been cancelled. Claims 1-16, 18-21, 32 and 33 have been presented for reconsideration. Claims 1, 2, 15, 32 and 33 are rejected. Claims 3-14, 16 and 18-21 are objected to.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicants arguments filed on 4-29-2004 have been fully considered and the Examiners response is as follows:
 - 2.1 Regarding Applicant's canceling of claims 11-16, 18-21, 32 and 33:

The previous 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections are moot in light of Applicant's canceling of claims 11-16, 18-21, 32 and 33.

After a review of the prior art and an updated search, new art rejections have been applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2123

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2, 15, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borella et al. U.S. Patent 6,442,141 in view of "Efficient TCP over Networks with Wireless Links" by Elan Amir, Hari Balakrishnan, Srinivasan Seshan and Randy H. Kratz, hereafter referred to as the *Amir et al.* reference and in further view of Adelmann et al. U.S. Patent 4,703,477.
- 3.1 As regards independent Claim 1 the Borella et al. reference discloses simulating the connection characteristics of a network (Col. 2 Lines 28-44), and providing a driver to access the stream of packets (Col. 4 Lines 19-21), and calculating a send time (Figure 2, Col. 5 Lines 36-48), the Examiner asserts that the timestamp is functionally equivalent to a send time and deleting the send time when the packet is removed from the queue (Col. 2 Lines 43-44), the Examiner asserts that setting the delay time to zero is functionally equivalent to deleting a send time from a network packet, and simulating an additional connection characteristic of the network (Col. 2 Lines 2-11).

The *Borella et al.* reference does not expressly disclose; sequencing the network packets in a queue and altering the stream of packets and attaching the send time to the packet.

The Amir et al. reference discloses sequencing the network packets in a queue (Figure 1 and Section 3.1 "Snoop Functions"), and altering the stream of packets (Figure 2).

It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to have created a queue of packets in a simulation because that is the only practical

Art Unit: 2123

method of tracking when a particular packet departs the computer system that is sending the packet out to be tested in use for determining the network latency.

The Adelmann et al. reference discloses inserting a time stamp into a network packet (Figure 5).

It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have inserted the time stamp into the test packet because then each packet being tested carries it's own start time stamp and a trace file is no longer required at the server to track the progress being made, a much simpler file containing the time delta based on the send time and arrival times of the packet being tested can be generated based on the time stamp read from each incoming test packet.

- 3.2 As regards dependent Claim 2 the *Borrella et al.* reference discloses transmission delay (Figure 6, ITEM 122).
- 3.3 As regards dependent Claim 15 the Borrella et al. reference discloses "layers", (Col. 4 Lines 19-21 & Col. 7 Lines 38-53) it is noted by the Examiner that the reference cited discloses the use of kernel code and device drivers and application layer software (Col. 7 Lines 38-53) which constitutes different layers.
- 3.4 As regards dependent Claims 32 and 33 the *Borrella et al.* reference does not expressly disclose packet "headers".

The Adelmann et al. reference discloses custom packet headers (Figure 5).

It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to have inserted a header with a time stamp into the test packet because then each packet being tested carries it's own start time stamp and a trace file is no longer required at

Art Unit: 2123

the server to track the progress being made, a much simpler file containing the time stamp delta based on the send time and arrival time can be generated based on the time stamp read from each incoming test packet's header.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-14, 16 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 15, 32 and 33 are rejected. Claims 3-14, 16 and 18-21 are objected to. This action is NON-FINAL.
- 5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is 703 305-7150. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703 305-9704. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2123

DMC July 20, 2004

Section 1. Section 1.